App F.IX-4

1925.

File No. 279-25

Previous References (Mysore)

Serial Nos. 1 – 2

Later references.
Mysg 391-27-1 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for May 1926

SUBJECT

Appointment of a successor to the personal treasury of Hebbur Mudr in the Tumkur Dist

---

D.O. 1529 Rafe 5 To the Mysg. Commr
31.5.26

His nomination will be considered when the vacancy actually occurs.

No. P.C. 50 25-26 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner of Mysore,
enclosure file. Dated Bangalore, 20th April 1926.

43875

From

C. S. Palasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner for Mysore,

GENL. & REV. SECTT. 22 APR 1926 MUZRAI
D1245
mu2-279-25
GENL. & REV. SECTT. Br. Mul 22. APR. 1926 G. D. SECTION.

Sriman Ty[illegible], Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
His Highness the Maharaja's Palace, Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit herewith [illegible] of order and ---
obligation matrimonial correspondence [illegible] No. 4, dated
the 20th March 1926 [illegible], Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District
[illegible] matter of the appointment of a successor to the pontiff ---
Swamigalu of [illegible] Mathur in the Sira Taluk of the said ---
District:-

2. On 10th July 1925, the Swamigalu sent a communication to me intimating that on account of extreme old age he was contemplating to give asrama to one Ved. Rameswara Sastry of Sidlaghatta and -- requesting approval thereto. This was sent to the Deputy Commissioner for a report after enquiry about the qualification and --- eligibility of the Swamiy's nominee. The Swamigalu was also --- informed that he should not anticipate Government approval and -- proceed further in the matter.

Before the receipt of the Deputy Commissioner's report, a further communication was received from the Swamigalu stating that he gave asrama to Ved. Rameswara Sastry on [illegible] and that the new Swami was behaving in an insubordinate manner -- and was also acting contrary to asrama Dharma and requesting that his succession may not be recognized. This was also forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner and he was requested to send up a detailed report explaining the circumstances under which asrama was given without previous approval of Government, what the cause of difference between the two was, and whether there was any possibility of the -

the differences be composed.

3. The Deputy Commissioner's letter of the 21st explains fully the circumstances under which asrama was gi the eligibility of Ved. Rameswara Sastry to succeed to the Peeta after the demise of the present Swamigalu. At about the same time the agent of the Sri Sringeri Matha sent two petitions ---- addressed to His Holiness the Jagadguru by the inhabitants of -- Herur and other villages complaining of the ill-treatment accorded to the new Swami and requesting that the matter may be enquired into and disposed of on its merits. A copy of his letter was sent to the Deputy Commissioner and he was requested to take an early opportunity to visit the place and advise both the senior and Junior Swamies not to fallout and give room for friction and to compose the differences existing between them. A report on the result of the action taken was also requested so that the -- approval of Government to the nomination already made may be ---- obtained.

4. Thereupon the Deputy Commissioner sent up his letter of the 16th December 1925 detailing the circumstances of the case fully. As suggested by the Deputy Commissioner, I wrote to Mr. Srikanta Sastry, agent of the Sri Sringeri matha to advise the new Swami under His Holiness' directions to show greater respect to his -- spiritual preceptor and crave his indulgence for his past actions of omission and commission. The Deputy Commissioner having reported that both the Swamies have come to an understanding and the dispute between them has been squared up, the agent of the Sri Sringeri - Matha has been requested to admit the Junior Swami to His Holiness' camp and render all possible help to prosecute his studies. From a communication dated the 26th March 1926 received from the Junior Swami it will be seen that he is now at Sringeri awaiting instructions from the agent about his future educational arrangements.

5 Now that the differences existing between the two Swamies have been squared up that the Junior Swami has acquiesed in the arrangements proposed by the Senior Swamigalu, that the succession of Ved. Rameswara Sastry to the Peeta has the approval of the -- disciples of the matha and other towns people of Hebbur (vide --- mahazar dated 20-8-1925), and that the existence of two Swamies

at

at one and the same time is said to have been permitted by previous practice (vide Senior Swamy's Srimukha dated 10-7-1925), I beg to recommend that the nomination of Ved. Rameswara Sastry as Junior Swami under the titular name of Sri Sankara Sadasiva Sachidanda -- Saraswati Swami may be recognized, to avoid all further complications.

6. The file of vernacular papers is enclosed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

22/4/26

for Muzrai Commissioner.

To

Sub

Shri Sri Krishnananda Saraswathi Swamiji of Sri Hebbur, a matter of minor importance in the Tumkur Taluk. Sri Ramesh. Krishnananda Saraswathi Swamiji, the present High Priest of the Mathas of a Sringeri and Sri Saraswathi of Shivaganga under the Sastry of Sivaganga under the titular name of Sri Sankara Sadasiva Sachidananda Saraswathi Swamiji with a view to the latter succeeding to the Pitha in due course.

2) Certain private differences of opinion existing between the Guru & the disciple have been separately, and the Minister requests sanction to the Upgi of Sri Sankara Sachidananda Saraswathi Swamiji as the Junior Swamiji of the Math.

The Young Swamiji [illegible]

279— 25

undergoing training & discipline
in the Sri Ramjas mats. The
Inner Court Women may be
saved &c. The native medical
boys & their assistants.

Bh
B/s for Rev. Secy) d
B/s
1st member) h
B/s. M
11/5

Serial No.

4) Is there any need to recognise the nomination to me. ? It seems to me that this in view of number of offences, there may of an arrest before the nomination, it may be desirable that to pass formal orders disposing of the nomination but before the nomination of the Sessions that the commn has been set & that the question of offering the nomination will be considered when the vacancy actually arises

Sd
14/5

12/5

14/5

5) Dft. submr. for appl. or orders

As there is no vacancy, there seems to be no need to recognize the nomination now. The draft may be approved.

Sd 13/5/26

13/5/26.

Rer. Secy 14/5.

6) 14/5

#2 member)

15/5

69/175

7) Mues 27.5.26

Secy 8) 27.5.26

25/5

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 -17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mucr File No 279 of 1925.

Serial No 2

To, Mucr Coumnr
No 1528 dy 31.5.26
States that his Coumnu nication has been noted that the question of nomi nating the Successor will be Considered when the Vacancy actually arises.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

SUBJECT.....

Section Mur

File No. 279-26

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Seria No. 2

No. 1528

To The Asst Comr Chitaldrug

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Sir,

Date of issue 21-5-26

Adverting to your letter No. P.C. 5 of 25-6 dy 20-4-26 in the matter of the appointment of a successor to present Shanbhogue of Hebbur mutt in the Tumkur Taluk, I am directed to ~~state~~ ~~that~~ ~~in view of possible~~ differences that may again arise, between the Shanbhogue and his nominee, it may be desirable not to pass final orders upon

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 0562—GPB—31,000—17-8-25

of the Nomination
and to request that you
will be so good as to inform
the Society that his
Case has been noted
and that the question
of approving of the nomination
will be considered when
the vacancy actually
arises.
(I am encl. a reply and
have etc
L
14/5
14/5 Hon Sec
BG
18/5/26

Mrs
27/5/26